Case 1:16-cv-06525-PKC-JLC Document 209 Filed 11/13/18 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#:
CASEY CUNNINGHAM, et al.,	DATE FILED: (1-13-18
Plaintiffs,	16-cv-6525 (PKC)
-against-	<u>ORDER</u>
CORNELL UNIVERSITY, et al.,	
Defendants.	
CASTEL, U.S.D.J. I have reviewed the letters of the two groups of december 2019 (Doc 206 and 207) and the plaintiffs' response of November regrettable that not only were the plaintiffs and defendants not a schedule but the two groups of defendants could not agree on a CAPTRUST seeks two extra days for reply briefs. Really? All defendants' motions may proceed on the fol judgment motions due January 25, 2019, oppositions due February 25, 2019.	ber 7, 2019 (Doc 208). It is ble to agree on a common common motion schedule.
Movants' are cautioned that Local Civil Rule 56.1 requires a statement of <u>material</u> facts not in dispute. The listing of a fact in the statement is a concession that the fact is material that cannot be avoided if the fact is later successfully disputed by the non-movant.	

SO ORDERED.

P. Kevin Castel United States District Judge

Dated: New York, New York November 13, 2018